

From: Howard Rolfe [mailto:howard.rolfe@eoecph.nhs.uk]
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2014 10:14 AM GMT Standard Time
To: Cllr Dean
Cc: HASELHURST, Alan <alan.haselhurst.mp@parliament.uk>; Andrew Taylor
Subject: FW: Little Easton

Alan,

Further to your request for the email exchange and our conversation, I enclose below.

Howard

From: Howard Rolfe
Sent: 09 September 2014 16:41
To: HASELHURST, Alan
Subject: FW: Little Easton

Alan,

Clearly, any influence you may be able to have with EP (*) would be much appreciated. H

From: Howard Rolfe
Sent: 09 September 2014 16:38
To: 'Andrew Taylor'
Cc: HASELHURST, Alan
Subject: RE: Little Easton

Thanks Andrew, that is quite clear, although I guess the key point is what determines an application to “catch the eye” apart from its size.

A major development at Little Easton would have a negative impact all round, especially as it outside the remit of our Plan.

Howard

From: Andrew Taylor [<mailto:ataylor@uttlesford.gov.uk>]

Sent: 08 September 2014 17:17

To: Howard Rolfe

Cc: HASELHURST, Alan

Subject: RE: Little Easton

Sir Alan, Howard

Both the Land Securities at Little Easton and Fairfield at Elsenham have been 'called-in' by the Secretary of State.

The Inspector will hold the Inquiry as usual and wrote a report. This report will then be submitted to the Secretary of State for his consideration.

Large scale housing developments would be likely to catch the eye of the Secretary of State if he is following a 'we need to get more housing delivered' line.

We were not really surprised that they were called in.

Andrew Taylor MRTPI

Assistant Director Planning and Building Control

Council Offices
London Road
Saffron Walden
Essex
CB11 4ER

T 01799 510601

F 01799 510550

E ataylor@uttlesford.gov.uk

From: Howard Rolfe [<mailto:howard.rolfe@eoecph.nhs.uk>]
Sent: 08 September 2014 15:33
To: Andrew Taylor
Cc: HASELHURST, Alan
Subject: Little Easton

Dear Andrew,

Further to our discussion about the Land Securities' appeal at Little Easton, my understanding from you is the Secretary of State (or at least his office) will consider this appeal. I assume this is more significant than the usual Inspector led hearing and would be grateful if a) you could confirm this is a more significant approach and b) why Little Easton would have been chosen for this process.

I have copied Sir Alan into this email as it becomes a political matter if Little Easton has been fast tracked to the SoS.

Thanks,

Howard

(*) NOTE BY ALAN DEAN: EP is likely to stand for Eric Pickles.